Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/853,821	SPOONER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Meltin Bell	2121
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n: <u>41</u>
(1) <u>Meltin Bell</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>James Goepel</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 September 2005	Time: <u>1:03 p</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  Not applicable	•	
Claims discussed: Not applicable		
Prior art documents discussed:  Not applicable		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Mr. James Goepel reported the case abandoned by the clie		T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.	•	
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
Nula Well		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	cant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)